Preliminary Amendment dated August 17, 2007

Reply to Office Action of May 21, 2007 and July 10, 2007

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

The FINAL Office Action of May 21, 2007 and an Advisory Action issued July 10, 2007 have been carefully reviewed in connection with an Amendment after Final under Rule 116 and request for reconsideration filed June 22, 2007. A request for continued examination filed concurrently herewith respectfully requests that the amendment after Final filed June 22, 2007 not be entered and this amendment be entered in its place. Applicant has been placed in a difficult position since the Supreme Court's decision of KSR v. Teleflex. Examiner Their has kindly spoken with Applicant's representative about this application and described that he will be receiving training in examination of issues of obviousness on August 1, 2008. In view of recently published decisions of the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences, the issue of unobviousness is unsettled. Rather that appeal claims 55-62 as presented in an amendment filed June 22, 2007, Applicant has decided to substantially amend the independent claims consistent with the specification to define gaps between the applied prior art of record and the claimed inventions. Claims 45 and 46 have been cancelled. Claims 43-44, 49 and 55-62 remain pending. Claim 63 has been added as a new independent claim based on a combination of claims 43 and 55.

A person having ordinary skill in the art (PHOSITA) of wireless telecommunication is respectfully submitted to be one having a Bachelor of Science degree in electrical or electronic engineering and, for example, one year's experience as an engineer in the art of wireless telecommunication. Keeping this mythical person in mind, it is respectfully submitted that in regard to claim 43 as amended, a gap exists between the prior art as a collection and the claimed invention at least as follows: "the personal wireless telephone and the vehicular wireless telephone sharing the communication minutes, the predetermined number of short message units and the concierge service under the single wireless service plan, the single wireless service plan further comprising a connectivity service for sharing information between the vehicular wireless telephone and the personal wireless telephone, the connectivity service including the downloading of stored telephone numbers from one of said vehicular wireless telephone and said personal wireless telephone to the other of said personal wireless telephone and said vehicular wireless telephone responsive to an authentication code."

Preliminary Amendment dated August 17, 2007

Reply to Office Action of May 21, 2007 and July 10, 2007

Chennakeshu et al. teaches a vehicle mounted communications system and, at column 8, "an authorized identification number" but there is no discussion of the rest of the claimed elements. Fitzgerald discusses a car 138 but not the claimed limitations. Marchbanks teaches, for example, a third party paging service and integrated billing, but not as recited. An issue of nonobviousness then may become for the Examiner to decide whether the gaps between the claimed limitations of claim 43 of which the above quoted limitations are exemplary and the prior art taken as a whole is sufficient to render the claim as a whole unobvious.

Claim 44 is patentably distinct for all the reasons that claim 43 patentably distinguishes and further adds the limitation "comprising rerouting a call to a voice mail account of the personal wireless telephone, the call intended for receipt by the vehicular wireless telephone, the rerouting being responsive to detection that the vehicular wireless telephone is not answering the call." Marchbanks teaches voicemail at Figure 6, item 100 but does not specifically discuss the limitation as recited.

Claim 49 is patentably distinct for all the reasons that claim 43 is patentably distinct. Marchbanks does not teach revenue sharing as recited in claim 49. The Examiner cites Walker for the teaching that revenue may be shared between content providers and service providers. Since claim 49 is dependent on claim 43 as amended, the shared revenue from the "single wireless service plan" as redefined is not disclosed or suggested.

Claim 55 is now amended to clarify a recited voicemail service as supported, for example, at paragraph [62]: "a home location register servicing the vehicular wireless telephone indicating a sharing of the voice mail service of the personal telephone with the vehicular wireless telephone, the home location register instructing a mobile switching center to reroute a call to a voice mail account of the personal wireless telephone responsive to detection of a no answer by the vehicular wireless telephone of the call," "the single wireless service plan including a connectivity service for sharing information between the vehicular wireless telephone and the personal wireless telephone." None of the applied references describe these features among others of claim 55 as amended and taken as a whole.

Claim 56 is allowable for the reasons that claim 55 is allowable. Claim 56/55 is now amended to further recite a "receiver for receiving display image data encoded according to a

Preliminary Amendment dated August 17, 2007

Reply to Office Action of May 21, 2007 and July 10, 2007

Moving Pictures Experts Group (MPEG) standard , the connectivity service including relaying calls carrying said MPEG encoded image data to a personal wireless telephone for display responsive to subscriber input," for example, as supported at page 14 of the specification. The examiner has suggested that a display of Chennakeshu is a display as recited. Amended claim 56/55 goes much farther than Chennakeshu in describing the vehicular telephone's receiver and display as well as an enhanced connectivity service.

Claim 57 is allowable also for the reasons that claim 55 is allowable. Claim 57/55 now recites particular details of delivering navigation directions "responsive to a comparison of the telephone number of the personal wireless telephone with telephone numbers of a database of personal wireless telephone numbers authorized to receive navigation directions" as supported, for example, at page 23, paragraph [65].

Claims 58, 59 and 61/55 now add the limitation "responsive to receiving a request to share - - - at said (first or second) wireless service provider" depending on the service. See, for example, support throughout the specification where the personal wireless service provider is 121A and the vehicular service provider is 121B. Marchbanks et al '401 teaches an integration interface 126 but, per column 6, relates to "new or add-on," "change," "cancellation" or "disconnection" and does not appear to relate to a sharing as recited.

Claim 60/55 as amended now recites "the vehicular wireless telephone comprising a larger display than said personal wireless telephone, said connectivity service including electronic mail message sharing responsive to receiving a request for sharing said electronic mail message service at said first wireless service provider."

Claim 62/55 has been further amended to recite "while the vehicle of the vehicular wireless telephone is being driven, a wireless local area network communication unit of said vehicle being responsive to receipt of a proper activation code" to further distinguish the claim over Rosener et al. The "T28 phone in the repeater over-writes its own identity information with identity information received from wireless device 1011," per page 5 [0060]. Paragraph [0120] is silent as to any activation code as recited.

Preliminary Amendment dated August 17, 2007

Reply to Office Action of May 21, 2007 and July 10, 2007

CONCLUSION

All rejections having been addressed, applicant respectfully submits that the instant application is in condition for allowance, and respectfully solicits prompt notification of the same. However, if for any reason the Examiner believes the application is not in condition for allowance or there are any questions, the Examiner is requested to contact the undersigned at (202) 624-7325.

Respectfully submitted,

POWELL GOLDSTEIN, LLP

Dated this 17th day of August, 2007

By: /Thomas H. Jackson/

Thomas H. Jackson, Registration No. 29,808

901 New York Ave., 3rd Floor Washington, D.C. 20001-4413 Tel: (202) 824-3000 Fax: (202) 824-3001

THI/mmd